

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/613,864	07/03/2003	Alexander C. Chan	J6837(C)	4336		
201	7590 11/07/2005		EXAMINER			
UNILEVER INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP 700 SYLVAN AVENUE,			ELHILO, EISA B			
BLDG C2 SOUTH ENGLEWOOD CLIFFS, NJ 07632-3100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1751			
				DATE MAILED: 11/07/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		_
	10/613,864	CHAN ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		_
	Eisa B. Elhilo	1751		_
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.	
Status				
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Au 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is	
Disposition of Claims				
4) □ Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-20 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-20 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the objection to the object of the correction of the object	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF	• •	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents.	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage	
Attachment(s)	_			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	O-152)	

Art Unit: 1751

DETAILED ACTION

- This action is responsive to the amendment filed on August 22, 2005.
- The cancellation of claim 3 is acknowledged. Pending claims are 1-2 and 4-20.
- The obviousness-type double patenting rejection is maintained for the reasons set forth in the previous office action mailed on August 5, 2005.

New ground of rejection

Claim Objections

4 Claim 20 objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 20 is a kit claim and therefore, the word "method" should be replaced by the word "kit" to make the claim in a proper dependency form. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2 and 4-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sarojini et al. (US 2003/0154562 A1) in view of Dias (US 6,540,791 B1).

Sarojini et al (US' 562 A1) teaches a method for coloring hair comprising applying to the hair a mixture of oxidative dye precursors such as para-phenylenediamine followed by contacting the hair with a mixture of oxidizing agents as claimed in claims 1 and 2 (see page 10, claim 1 and page 5, paragraph, 0096), the method wherein the primary intermediates are presented in the amounts of 0.001 to 5% which overlapped with the claimed range as claimed in

Art Unit: 1751

claim 4 (see page 2, paragraph, 0041) and wherein the oxidation dye contains a nascent oxidizing compound as claimed in claim 5 (see page 4, paragraph, 0089), the method wherein the oxidizing mixture comprises hydrogen peroxide as claimed in claim 11 (see page 5, paragraph, 0096) and wherein the developer mixture also comprises an alkaline agent as claimed in claim 12 (see page 5, paragraph, 0092). Sarojini et al. (US' 562 A1) also teaches kit comprises the dyeing ingredients as described above and which are similar to those kits as claimed in claims 13-20 (see paragraph, 11, claim 17).

The instant claims differ from the reference by reciting a step of applying to the hair a means for aligning the hair and distributing the dye precursor mixture over the hair and wherein the dye precursor mixture produces specific color change.

Dias (US' 791 B1) in analogous art of hair dyeing formulation, teaches a method for dyeing hair comprising applying to the hair a distribution means such as comb and brush (see col. 49, lines 25-27)

Therefore, in view of teaching of the secondary reference, one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would be motivated to apply to the hair the aligning and distributing means such as brushes or combs with a reasonable expectation of success because Dias (US' 791) clearly teaches that the composition may be applied directly to the hair or via some vehicle such as brushes, combs or applicators (see col. 49, lines 25-27), and, thus, a person of the ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to apply such a vehicle as taught by Dias (US' 791 B1) in the method described be Sarojini et al. (US' 562 A1) and would expect such a method to have similar properties to those claimed, absent unexpected results.

Art Unit: 1751

With respect to the limitation of the color change and condition for selecting the pH, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to be motivated to utilize a composition for dyeing hair having dyeing intermediate compounds with anionic forms that produce color changes because Sarojini et al. (US' 562 A1) teaches dyeing intermediates similar to those claimed (see page 4, paragraph, 0084), and thus a person of the ordinary skill in the art would expect such a composition to have similar properties and obtained change of color similar to those claimed, absent unexpected results.

Response to Applicant's Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-2, 4, 7-9, 11 and 14-19 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eisa B. Elhilo whose telephone number is (571) 272-1315. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F (8:00 -5:30) with alternate Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra Gupta can be reached on (571) 272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Art Unit: 1751

Page 5

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art unit 1751

November 2, 2005